



**Australian
College *of*
Educators**

**Fellowship
Nomination Guide**

Fellow of the Australian College of Educators

FACE

The Australian College of Educators advances the education profession nationally across all sectors and levels for the individual and collective success of Australia's educators. Established in 1959, the Australian College of Educators' key objectives are to:

- provide a strong national voice for the profession;
- promote professional standards of a high order;
- recognise excellence in professional practice;
- foster the professional learning of educators; and
 - enhance the status of the profession.

Fellowship of the Australian College of Educators is one of the highest forms of professional recognition that the College can bestow. Fellowships are awarded to those educators who have made an exemplary contribution over and above the expectations of any paid professional role in the field of education. Fellows of the Australian College of Educators (FACE) are recognised by the wider education community, as making a significant contribution to the education profession through excellence in professional practice.

To be awarded a Fellowship, applicants must clearly demonstrate outstanding professional performance and achievements when judged against the specified criteria, and more generally, their peers.

Those who are granted Fellowship are entitled to use the post-nominal FACE (provided that they are a financial member); as are those non-members granted the status of Honorary Fellow. (Honorary Fellowship is awarded by nomination and invitation from the College only; self-nomination is not permitted)

Fellowship Eligibility

Current financial ACE members [MACE] are eligible to nominate any outstanding educators Fellowship in accordance with the stated criteria and processes.

The National Board and State and Territory Membership and Awards Committees are also eligible to initiate applications for Fellowship in conjunction with those being nominated.

Non-ACE members wishing to join the College can elect to nominate an outstanding educator Fellowship in accordance with the stated criteria and processes outlined.

The National Board and State and Territory Membership and Awards Committees are also eligible to initiate nominations for Honorary Fellowship. Honorary Fellowship is a confidential process whereby those who have made an outstanding contribution to the advancement of education in Australia, and possibly internationally, and are not an ACE member, may be recognised for this achievement.

Notes:

1. Those seeking to join ACE as Associate members or those already holding this category of membership [AAACE] are ineligible for ACE Fellowship.
2. Honorary Fellowship is not open for self-nomination. Honorary Fellowships are rarely awarded and would not normally exceed one–two awards in any year.

The Application Process

There is a standard Fellowship application form that must be completed electronically for submission. Nominators must complete the form in accordance with the criteria below.

The application is broken up in to the following areas:

- **Employment History**
- **Qualifications and Professional Certification**
- **Referees**

Nominators can then chose to complete any or all of the sections in Criteria 1 noting that there are strict word limits applied to each Criteria.

Criteria 1

Criteria 1 has a weighting of 40% and is focused on the provision of evidence that exemplifies outstanding professionalism and ethical practice in relation to you employment

It is important to note that this is not simply a copy of a resume or curriculum vitae.

To answer Criteria 1 a clear and concise snapshot of achievements throughout the nominees career in terms of employment must be provided.

Remember: To be awarded a Fellowship of the Australian College of Educators the nominee must be able to show exemplary performance OVER AND ABOVE what is expected in paid employment as an Educator.

Whether the nominator choses to complete one or all criterion (or a combination) of Criteria 1 there is a strict word limit of 1,500 words.

Criterion:

- There are three (3) criterion in Criteria 1 - a, b and c.
- Each criterion focuses on a different aspect of Building professional and ethical practice over time:
 - Criterion (a) - Improving student learning and teaching practice
 - Criterion (b) - Advancing educational scholarship, research or policy/product development
 - Criterion (c) - Effecting change and improvement in practice or the workplace.

Under each of these criterion are a series (i - v) of statements for response to as well as providing evidence to support the application.

A minimum of three (3) of the five (5) statements contained within a Criterion must be completed. Nominators may choose to complete all statements however it is essential to note that the word limit for ALL OF CRITERIA 1 is 1,500 words.

Example:

1. Susan Smith has decided to respond to Criterion (a) in Criteria 1 and chosen to answer three (the minimum) of the statements (Susan's word count is noted in green).
2. Robert Jones has decided to respond to Criteria (a), (b) and (c) and chosen to answer a combination of statements in each criterion (Robert's word count is noted in red).

Criteria 1: Evidence of outstanding professional and ethical practice over time					
Criterion (a) - Improving student learning and teaching practice		Criterion (b) - Advancing educational scholarship, research or policy/product development		Criterion (c) - Effecting change and improvement in practice or the workplace	
Statement (i) Leading and/or collaborating in the development and implementation of effective, innovative curricula and evaluation strategies in the workplace.	500 150	Statement (i) Sustaining high level educational scholarship and on-going professional learning and dissemination through grant, publications and presentations.	150	Statement (i) Leading and/or providing key involvement in strategic planning, change management, stakeholder consultation and evaluation.	100
Statement (ii) Using research and data to effect improvement in teaching and learning in the workplace.	100	Statement (ii) Applying research to foster creativity, innovation and improvement in teaching and learning and organisational effectiveness and policy.	250	Statement (ii) Developing and implementing reviews, improvements, new policies and standards.	100
Statement (iii) Promoting high teaching performance and attracting, developing and managing staff to achieve the organisation's goals.	500	Statement (iii) Actively contributing to the implementation of evidence-based practice and continuous improvement.		Statement (iii) Building major partnerships and alliances.	100
Statement (iv) Engaging parents/caregivers and the community to enhance student achievement and personal development.	100	Statement (iv) Demonstrated excellence in teaching, improving student opportunities and outcomes.	250	Statement (iv) Advocating successfully on behalf of educators and/or significant issues to achieve the desired outcome.	100
Statement (v) Championing diversity, inclusion, mutual respect, understanding and reconciliation to advance positive relationships.	500	Statement (v) Leading and/or actively being involved in research teams and/or project grants.		Statement (v) Attracting, leading and managing staff/teams to achieve an organisation or key project's goals.	100

Criteria 2

Criteria 2 has a weighting of 60% and is focused on the provision of evidence that shows outstanding contribution to the profession above and beyond current and recent positions and formal duties.

To answer Criteria 2 nominators must provide evidence that exemplifies outstanding contribution to the broader education profession. This requires identification of activities, achievements over and above employment and formal (paid) duties that have made a significant contribution to the education profession.

Remember: To be awarded a Fellowship of the Australian College of Educators nominees must be able to show exemplary performance OVER AND ABOVE what is expected in employment as an Educator.

To complete Criteria 2 a minimum of 2 sections must be completed. Nominators may chose to answer all three sections however it must be noted that there is a strict word limit of 3,000 words for Criteria 2.

Sections:

- There are three (3) sections in Criteria 2 - a, b and c.
- Each section focuses on a different aspect of outstanding contribution to the profession:
 - Section (a) - Effective leadership, management and/or involvement with external organisations to achieve stakeholder, program or project working group objectives.
 - Section (b) - Held a central and effective role in forming and disseminating information, opinions and discussion that raises awareness of key education issues, adds value to policy development and improves the standing of the profession and the educator.
 - Section (c) - Development and implementation of significant public or private initiatives at national, state or regional levels that improve educational policy, practice and infrastructure and the student experince and funding.

Referees

Fellowship nominations are required to include the names and contact details for three (3) referees.

1. Referees should be professional colleagues.
2. At least one (1) referee **MUST** be a financial member or Fellow of the Australian College of Educators.
3. Only one (1) referee can be from your current workplace.

Referees are required to complete and sign the Fellowship Referee form that is available for download from the Fellowship application page on the ACE website. Referees may be contacted to provide a confidential reference for the applicant.

Please note: If you are not sure if a referee is a financial member of the Australian College of Educators, please contact the Australian College of Educators National Office on (03) 9035 5473.

The Nomination Process

Nominations

- State and Territory Awards Committees may nominate candidates that they believe are worthy of consideration for an ACE Fellowship from their respective jurisdictions.
- Individuals may also nominate a candidate they believe is worthy of consideration for an ACE Fellowship.

- **Nominations must be completed using the ACE Fellowship Application Forms;**
- **Individuals wishing to nominate an outstanding educator for Fellowship should contact their State or Territory ACE Branch Committee for further information**
- **Applications will not be assessed until all information is provided and the completed application has been correctly submitted via the ACE National Office**

- Fellowship applications are open ALL YEAR.
- There are four (4) assessment rounds scheduled each year.
 - The closing dates for the assessment rounds will be advertised on the ACE Website.

Assessment Process

- Fellowship applications are assessed against a specified selection criteria.
- The Assessment Panel is made up of expert educators who hold a Fellowship with the Australian College of Educators.
 - Fellowship Assessors are appointed annually by the Australian College of Educators Fellowship Working Group.
 - The ACE Fellowship Working Group reports to the National Membership and Awards Committee.
 - Current ACE Fellows are invited to apply to be an assessor.
 - Assessors are selected based on ensuring a wide representation of all education sectors and each State and Territory.
- The assessors, once appointed, are divided in to Assessment Panel consisting of three people including a Lead Assessor and an Assessor from the same education sector as the applicant.

Assessment Guidelines

Fellowship applications are assessed based on the following criteria:

1. **Relevance and credibility** of the evidence provided in relation to the criterion.
2. **Quality** of the evidence provided in relation to the criterion.
3. **Effectiveness** of the evidence in linking the applicant's actions and input in achieving the stated outcomes.
4. **Impact and significance** of the evidence provided in terms of applicant's current employment and the wider education profession.

Rating Scale

Each response is rated based on the following scale:

1	2	3	4	5
No Extent	Minimal Extent	Some Extent	Major Extent	Exceptional Extent

Fellowship Award Standards

The awarding of Fellowships is based on:

- the weighting given to each Criteria (40% for Criteria 1 and 60% for Criteria 2),
- the rankings awarded by each assessor (1 - 5 scale) (and)
- any comments provided by the assessment panel.

Fellowship Assessment Panel

The Assessment Panel will determine if the application has presented evidence that:

- Meets the criteria to an exceptional and/or major extent and is recommended for Fellowship.
- Is inconclusive and not supported for Fellowship or may require panel discussion to reach a recommendation.
- To no extent meets the criteria and is not supported for Fellowship.

Members of the Fellowship Assessment Panels review applications independently from other panel members.

Comments and ratings are confidential.

Australian College of Educators Membership and Awards Committee

The recommendations of the Assessment Panel will be provided to the Australian College of Educators Membership and Awards Committee for review.

Australian College of Educators Board of Directors

The Australian College of Educators Board of Directors provide final approval for the awarding of Fellowships. The Board have the right to choose not to award a Fellowship if the applicant is deemed ineligible or in contravention of the College Constitution and/or By-Laws. The Board's decision is final and no correspondence regarding the application outcome will be entered in to.

Post Application Process

Unsuccessful nominations, upon written request from the nominating committee, will be provided with de-identified feedback on their application.

Planning and Writing an ACE Fellowship Application

Preparation

Being awarded a Fellowship of the Australian College of Educators is recognition of an individual's contribution to the education profession. To be a Fellow, the nominator will need to provide evidence of:

- A history of distinguished service;
- Significant and lasting contributions to the profession;
- Recognition by the broader profession including other training, education or employer groups;
- Respect of professional peers;
- General acknowledgement of having attained a position of significance in making a positive contribution to education in Australia.

Before commencing an application ensure, as a nominator, you:

- Have a clear understanding of the Criteria and associated statements that need to be answered;
- Are able to provide clear, concise and convincing evidence supporting the benefits and impacts of the nominee's achievements;
- Have discussed the application with referees and peers to gain feedback and support.

Completing the Application

The following provides assistance on the structure and style of successful applications.

Structure

Write a commentary on the evidence of each criterion that includes a description, analysis and reflection of achievement.

- The description explains the context and nature of the achievement and the evidence to be presented.
- The analysis points to the evidence provided and discusses how and to what extent it illustrates that the criterion has been met.
- Commentary on each criterion will conclude with a reflection on the achievement/s featured, its/their significance, and what has been learnt for future practice.
- Provide evidence through referencing or other verifiable data. Add online links or web addresses to publications, reports or presentations if relevant.

Style

- Write in an active voice and ensure the structure of the information presented is easy for the assessor (who may not be acquainted with the nominee, or their profile) to follow.
- Make each sentence succinct, avoid padding. This will not be all you can say about the nominee, rather what is needed to effectively make the claim.
- Avoid the use of dot points and duplication of information, merely cross reference if necessary.
- Start with a short summary sentence of the achievement claim and its basis. For more detailed responses, follow with the 'what was done and why', the 'how it was done (approach/tools/methods)' and the 'outcome/impact/response'.
- Write about WHAT has been ACHIEVED; this is not just a description of aims and vocation.

Review

- Allow plenty of time for reading, editing and checking grammar and spelling.
- Seek feedback on the draft from a colleague, ACE State Committee Member or one of the referees.

Examples of Successful Fellowship Application Responses

The following examples have been de-identified for the purposes of providing a guide as to the ways in which successful applicants have responded to the Fellowship Application questions.

Example: Criteria 1: Evidence of outstanding professional and ethical practice over time

Criterion 1a Improving student learning and practice

Statement (i) Leading and/or collaborating in the development and implementation of effective, innovative curricula and evaluation strategies in the workplace.

In 2014, I initiated and implemented a whole school framework to assist teachers to evaluate, design and redesign learning activities that explicitly support students to develop their 21st Century skills. The aim of this initiative is to provide all teachers at the College with a common language and approach to 21st Century teaching and learning. I organised the professional learning course to be undertaken in a blended learning environment, which included face to face meetings and online collaboration.

I used the AITSL's Learning Design Anatomy report to guide the initiative, in order to design innovative and effective professional learning that was school-based and focused on improving student outcomes and teacher practice. I commenced the initiative by coordinating the training of six teacher leaders to undertake a two-day intensive course on the 21st Century Learning.

I created an online portal to guide all teachers through a structured, yet flexible approach for exploring the framework. The online portal provided teachers with a professional learning community in which to undertake an introduction to the 21CLD, and as an ongoing learning space to share classroom practice, gain new information and participate in professional conversations. I facilitated the 21CLD focus group to act as peer mentors so that they could model best practice, assist with the provision of resource materials, and actively engage in advocating the framework across the College.

I introduced the 21st Century Learning Design to all teachers at a whole school staff meeting and provided an overview of the expectations for completing the online modules over the school term. This was completed in teachers' own time in lieu of attending a compulsory PD twilight session. In each module, teachers were required to answer 'aspire' questions so that they could reflect on their current teaching practice and to provide critical reflections and ideas on how they could explicitly develop the 21st Century skills in their teaching and learning programs. Teachers shared their responses via a dedicated online forum. The online discussions enabled all teachers to share classroom practice across the College, which strengthened partnerships across the sub schools. It allowed for more time to process thoughts, ideas and critical reflections that would not have been possible in traditional face to face staff meetings. I organised staff to attend two follow up face to face sessions with the 21CLD mentors to discuss key themes in the online discussions, and to apply their knowledge of the framework to see how deeply a 21st Century skill was embedded in a learning activity. This approach capitalised on teachers already having a basic understanding of the 21CLD, and therefore the College could devote more time during staff meetings for integrating, applying and consolidating their knowledge and understanding. Using a flipped learning approach to deliver the 21CLD, all teachers were able to learn in their own time and at their own pace. Under a traditional model, teachers would normally review these materials after school or during allocated professional development time within the school day. Using this model, all staff at the College engaged in professional learning that was focused on improving student outcomes, embedded in teacher practice, was collaborative and reflective, and was ongoing and supported within the school's culture.

This innovative project acted as pilot study to reconceptualise professional development in the 21st Century and has since been shared and published in media resources. Furthermore, I was invited to present the model as a keynote speaker at EduTECH and ACER's Excellence in Professional Practice conference.

Example: Criteria 1: Evidence of outstanding professional and ethical practice over time
Criterion 1b Advancing educational scholarship, research or policy/product development

Statement (i) Sustaining high level educational scholarship and on-going professional learning and dissemination through grants, publications and presentations

*I am consistently one of the top performers in research publications within the School of Education and Professional Studies, which is in the Top 50 such schools in the world. In the 5 years since 2011 I have published 1 book with another currently 'in press', 4 book chapters, 16 refereed journal articles and 5 papers in refereed conference proceedings. I am Editor in Chief of '*** Education' and a member of the Editorial Boards of 4 international journals.*

In the same 5 year period I have earned competitive research funding of more than 1.2 million dollars, including funding from the Australian Research Council.

I have presented at a variety of conferences including (specify), but I particularly value my regular presentations to teachers at the conferences of the xx Teachers Association of Queensland. I also regularly choose to publish my research in 'Teaching XX', the journal of the Australian XX Teachers' Association, in order to more directly inform practice.

I have been invited to present a keynote session at the conference of the Victorian Council of Deans of Education, and have been twice interviewed on ABC radio.

These achievements in research funding and publications have occurred despite the fact that for the past 18 months I have been in a leadership role in the School which means the proportion of my wWork devoted to research has been reduced from 40% to 25%.

